NEW TETRAHYDROPYRANS FROM A MARINE SPONGE

ROBERT J. CAPON, EMILIO L. GHISALBERTI and PHILLIP R. JEFFERIES Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, 6009, Western Australia

(Received in U.K. 1 October 1981)

Abstract—Two new tetrahydropyrans have been isolated from the sponge Haliclona sp. From chemical and spectroscopic evidence they are shown to be (1'R, 2S, 2''E, 5R, 6R)-2-(1'-bromethyl)-2,5-dimethyl-6-(penta-2'',4''-dienyl)-tetrahydropyran and <math>(1'R, 2S, 5R, 6R)-2-(1'-bromoethyl)-2,5-dimethyl-6-(pent-4''-enyl)-tetrahydropyran.

In continuation of our work on the natural products from marine sources of the Western Australian coast, we have examined the metabolites of a *Haliclona sp.* (Order: Haplosclerida; Family: Halicloniidae). The sponge[†] was collected at a depth of 10 m off Cosy Corner on the South-West Coast of Western Australia. From chemical and spectroscopic evidence the major components isolated have been shown to contain a 2,2,5,6-tetrasubstituted tetrahydropyran ring. The evidence for their structure and absolute configuration is the subject of this report.

Silicic acid and alumina chromatography of the CH_2Cl_2 extract from the sponge afforded a mobile oil (0.6% dry wt) which from glc appeared as a mixture of two components (2:1). Pure samples of each were obtained as clear volatile oils by preparative GC.

The major component (1) showed M+1 peaks at m/z287/289 in the CI_{CH4} mass spectrum suggesting the presence of a Br atom whereas the highest mass ions in the EI mass spectrum appeared at m/z 219 and 221 (219.038; C₉H₁₆⁷⁹BrO) resulting from a loss of a C₅H₇ fragment from the molecular ion. Thus the molecular formula is $C_{14}H_{23}BrO$. Significant ions at m/z 107/109 (C_2H_4Br) were also apparent in the EI-MS of 1. The presence of a 1-bromoethyl moiety was inferred from the 'H-NMR of 1 which included a guartet at $\delta 4.72$ (J 6.9 Hz) and was shown to be coupled only to a secondary Me (δ 1.64, J 6.9 Hz). Other signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum included a singlet (δ 1.27) for a tertiary Me group, a doublet (δ 0.93, J 6.9 Hz) for a secondary Me group, a dt $(\delta 3.53, J 2.5, 7.0 \text{ Hz})$ assigned to an oxymethine proton and a complex pattern in the vinylic proton region which from NMDR experiments could be assigned to a fiveproton spin system of a monosubstituted butadiene moiety $[\lambda_{max}224(\epsilon 13300)]$. Furthermore, a dt (J 14.5, 7.0 Hz) at δ 5.63 was shown to have the small coupling from an allylic methylene group at $\delta 2.16$ and the large coupling from a vinylic proton, indicating that the butadiene unit was part of an (2E)-penta-2,4-dienyl system. Irradiation of the methylene group at $\delta 2.16$ also simplified the oxymethine signal from a dt to a d (J 2.5 Hz). Since the IR spectrum of 1 lacked absorption for OH or CO groups the presence of an ether oxygen was inferred. The substitution at the termini for the ether linkage was evident from the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 1 which included signals at δ 76.1 (s) 72.6 (d). The first carbon which alone is fully substituted must also bear the tertiary methyl group observed at $\delta 1.26$ in the ¹H-

NMR spectrum, whereas the second carbon is substituted by an oxymethine proton (δ 3.53) and the (2E)penta-2,4-dienyl group. These considerations point to partial structure A for compound 1.



The minor component 2, C14H25BrO, contained one less degree of unsaturation. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR characteristics were also consistent with partial structure A containing only the terminal double bond. In fact, hydrogenation of a mixture of 1 and 2 afforded a single saturated product 3, C₁₄H₂₇BrO. The facile loss of a C_2H_4Br fragment in the MS of 2, and ready formation of a C₂H₄Br fragment in the MS of 1, together with the lack of further coupling of the bromomethine proton, suggested that the 1-bromomethyl mojety was located α - to the ether oxygen. This together with the fact that the oxymethine proton appears as a doublet of triplets and the necessity of incorporating a secondary methyl group in the molecule allows extension of partial structure A to structure 1 for the major component and 2 for the minor component.

Chemical evidence for the structures proposed was obtained as shown in Scheme 1. Thus, the presence of an α -bromoether moiety was established by Zn-AcOH reduction of 3 to give the unsaturated alcohol (4) which could be hydrogenated to the alcohol (5). Treatment of 5 with pyridinium dichromate (PDC) gave the ketone 6 which on Baeyer-Villiger oxidation yielded the alkyl hexanoate ester 7. Hydrolysis of 7 afforded alcohol 8 and an acid (9) which was shown to be identical to hexanoic acid. The spectral characteristics of compounds 4-8 were consistent with the structures depicted. The fragmentations observed in the MS of the unsaturated ketone (10) obtained by PDC oxidation of 4 provided supporting evidence for the assignment of structure and the origin of the major ions which were mass-matched is given in Scheme 2. Thus, the two compounds from the sponge are shown to be 2 - (1 - bromoethyl) - 2,5 - dimethyl - 6 -(penta - 2,4 - dienyl) - tetrahydropyran (1) and 2 - (1 bromethyl) - 2,5 - dimethyl - 6 - (pent - 4 - enyl) tetrahydropyran (2).

[†]A specimen has been deposited with the Western Australian Museum (Catalogue No.: WAM-425-81).

H



Scheme 1. a, Zn/HOAc; b, H_2 , Pd/C; c, PDC; d, m-ClC₆H₄CO₃H; e, NaOH.

٠H

Н



Relative and absolute configuration

Compounds 1 and 2 both contain four chiral centres, three of which are at C2, 5 and 6 on the tetrahydropyran ring and the remaining one relates to the 1-bromoethyl side chain. Consideration of the preferred chair conformations for the tetrahydropyran ring for 1 and 2 suggest that the conformation in which the C6 alkyl side chain adopts the equatorial position is favoured since if it were axial there must be a 1,3-diaxial interaction with one or other substituent at C2. The coupling constant observed in the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1 between the hydrogens at C5 and C6 is small (J 2.5 Hs), indicative of an axial-equatorial interaction, and therefore requiring the C5 methyl group to have an axial orientation.

An NOE (18%) observed between the C6 oxymethine proton and the C1'-bromomethine in 1 establishes the cis1,3-diaxial orientation of the 1-bromoethyl substituent at C2 and the C6 oxymethine proton. These observations allow the relative configuration of the substituents on the tetrahydropyran ring to be assigned as shown in structure 11 (or its enantiomer).

Application of the Horeau method¹ to determine the absolute configuration of the secondary alcohol in 4 indicated the chirality at the carbinol centre as \mathbb{R} . This in turn allows the assignment of a 2S,5 \mathbb{R} ,6 \mathbb{R} -absolute configuration for compounds 1–3. Supporting evidence

for the chirality assigned to C5 and 6 is available from the close agreement between the molecular rotations of the known $(3\underline{R}, 4\underline{R})$ -4-methylheptan-3-ol (12) $([M_D] + 30^\circ)^2$ and $(4\underline{R})$ -4-methylheptan-3-one (13) $([M_D] - 28^\circ)^2$ with those observed for the saturated alcohol (5) $([M_D] + 30^\circ)$ and ketone (6) $([M_D] - 26^\circ)$. The basis for this comparison comes from the work of Carman³ who showed that for a large number of labdane diterpenes in which two asymetric centres are separated by at least two methylene groups, the molecular rotations of the two portions is approximately additive. In the case of 5 and 6, if, as is likely, they contain equal amounts of diastereoisomers epimeric at C10, the contribution of C10 averages to zero.

Finally, the chirality at the C1' centre was established by determining the configuration of the olefin in the reductive debromination product (4). In the ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 4 all Me carbons resonate upfield of 20 ppm indicating that C-12 and the C-10 Me carbon are *cis*- to each other and the appearance of C-9 at 32 ppm suggest that this carbon suffers no steric shielding from a *cis*-Me.⁴⁻⁶ This establishes the E-configuration of the double bond in 4 and since the reductive debromination is known to proceed in a *trans*-manner the stereochemistry at C1' in 1 and 2 can be assigned as R. These results allow the absolute configuration of 1 and 2 to be assigned





as $(1'\underline{R},2\underline{S},2''\underline{E},5\underline{R},6\underline{R}) - 2 - (1' - bromoethyl) - 2,5 - dimethyl - 6 - (penta - 2'',4'' - dienyl) - tetrahydropyran and <math>(1'\underline{R},2\underline{S},5\underline{R},6\underline{R}) - 2 - (1' - bromoethyl) - 2,5 - dimethyl - 6 - (pent - 4'' - enyl) - tetrahydropyran, respectively.$

A number of cyclic ethers have been isolated from marine sources^{7,8} but only two tetrahydropyrans, dactylyne (14) from Aplysia dactylomela⁸ and obtusenol (15) from Laurencia obtusa,9 have so far been described. The biosynthetic origin of the metabolites 1 and 2 from Haliclona sp. is not obvious. However, a comparison with the structure of obtusenol (15) raises the possibility that 1, 2 and 15 may be derived from a common acyclic sesquiterpene precursor (16) which can be considered to arise by addition of Br⁺ and H₂O to the internal double bond of nerolidol. Cyclization initiated by Br⁺ to the C10-double bond (farnesol numbering) and intervention by the tertiary OH group at C7 leads to the tetrahydropyran system in obtusenol (15). On the other hand, displacement of the C7 OH by the C3 OH would generate the brominated tetrahydropyran (17) which can then undergo a 1,2-Me shift, addition of hydride ion and conformational inversion to give the tetrahydropyran skeleton of 1 and 2.

If the reasonable assumption is made that the nerolidol precursor contains a double bond at C6 in the Econfiguration then it is necessary to invoke the displacement of the C7 OH by the C3 OH to achieve the stereochemical requirements for the 1,2-Me shift and the absolute stereochemistry shown for the metabolites from *Haliclona* sp. Modification of the R¹ and R² groups could presumably occur before or after cyclization.

EXPERIMENTAL

General experimental details have been described.¹⁰

Extraction of Haliclona sp. A sample of this sponge (172 g, dry wt), collected at a depth of 10 m off Cosy Corner on the South-West Coast of Western Australia, was diced and extracted with CH₂Cl₂: MeOH (1:1). The CH₂Cl₂ layer, obtained after addition of 10% by volume of H₂O, was dried and the solvent was evaporated to give a dark green mobile oil (7.0 g). This extract was rapidly filtered through silicic acid using light petroleum-CH2Cl2 (20% gradient) and the non-polar fraction (1.1 g) obtained with light petroleum-CH₂Cl₂ (1:1) as a pale yellow oil was adsorbed on a column of Al₂O₃ (neutral, Act. III). Elution with light petroleum- CH_2Cl_2 (1 : 1) gave a clear mobile oil (1.0 g, 0.6% dry wt). Analysis by GC (3% Carbowax 20 M on Chromosorb 85/100, glass column 2 m × 2 mm, isothermal at 170°) revealed the presence of two compounds in a 2:1 ratio. Preparative GC (5% Carbowax 20 M on Chromosorb 60/80, glass column 1 m × 5 mm, isothermal at 140°) of a portion (300 mg) of this oil afforded 1 (141 mg) and 2 (80 mg).

(1'<u>R</u>,2<u>S</u>,2"<u>E</u>,5<u>R</u>,6<u>R</u>) - 2 - (1' - Bromoethyl) - 2,5 - dimethyl - 6 - (*penta* - 2",4" - dienyl) - tetrahydropyran (1), a clear volatile oil, b.p. 103° (bath)(0.5 mm, $[\alpha]_D$ 27,3° (c 0.3; CHCl₃) (Found: 219.039. C₁₉H₁₆⁷⁹BrO requires: 219.038. ν_{max} (film) 3090, 1660, 1610 cm⁻¹; λ_{max} (EtOH) 224 nm (ϵ 13300); 'H-NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ : 0.93 (d, J 6.6 Hz, 5 Me), 1.27 (s, 2 Me), 1.64 (d, J 6.9 Hz, 1' Me), 3.53 (dt, J 2.5, 7.0 Hz, H6), 4.72 (q, J 6.9 Hz, H1'), 4.9–5.3 (m, (H5")₂), 5.63 (dt, J 14.5, 7.0 Hz, H2"), 5.9–6.6 (m, H3" and H4"); '¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 20.1 MHz) δ : 11.3 (q, C5-CH₃), 19.4 (q, C2'), 23.3 (q, C2-CH₃), 26.5 (t, C4), 27.8 (t, C3), 29.5 (d, C5), 36.8 (t, C1"), 50.0 (d, C1'), 72.6 (d, C6), 76.1 (s, C2), 115.5 (t, C5"), 131.4, 133.2 (d, C2" and C3"), 137.2 (d, C4"). MS (CI_{CH₃}) (m/z, %): 287/289 (M + 1, 5), 269/271 (3), 219/221 (22), 208 (15), 207 (100), 189 (55), 139 (57), 121 (15); MS (EI): 219/221 (M⁺-C₃H₇, 14), 201/203 (3), 139 (40), 121 (67), 111 (18), 107/109 (9), 93/95 (22), 79/81 (25), 67 (100).

(1'\mathbb{R}, 2\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S}, \

 $(1'R,2S,5R,6R) - 2 - (1' - Bromoethyl - 2.5 - dimethyl - 6 - pentyltetrahydropyran (3). Hydrogenation of a mixture of 1 and 2 in ether over 10% Pd/C under H₂ at rt afforded 3 as a clear volatile oil, b.p. 100° (bath)/0.5 mm, <math>[\alpha]_D$ 21° (c, 0.1; CHCl₃) (Found: C, 57.44; H, 9.29. C₁₄H₂₇BrO requires C, 57.73; H, 9.34%). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) & 0.90 (d, J 6.8 Hz, 5 Me), 1.26 (s, 2 Me), 1.66 (d, J 7.4 Hz, 1 Me), 3.43 (m, H6), 4.74 (q, J 7.4 Hz, H1'); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 20.1 MHz) & 11.4 (q, C5-CH₃), 14.0 (q, C5"), 19.4 (q, C2'), 22.7 (t, C4"), 23.3 (q, C2-CH₃), 25.8 (t, C1"), 26.6 (t, C4), 28.0 (t, C3), 29.9 (d, C5), 32.1 (t, C2"), 33.7 (t, C3"), 50.2 (d, C1'), 72.5 (d, C6), 75.7 (s, C2). MS (EI) (m/z, %): 183 (M⁺-C₂H₄Br, 42), 165 (5), 123 (3), 71 (30), 69 (64), 43 (100).

(6R,7R,10E) - 7,10 - Dimethyldodec - 10 - en - 6 - ol (4). A soln of 3 (123 mg) in AcOH (2 ml) and H₂O (0.15 ml) was treated with activated Zn dust (100 mg) at 45-50° for 1 hr. The soln was filtered and the filtrate was diluted and extracted with ether to yield the unsaturated alcohol 4 (70 mg), as a clear oil, b,p. 100° (bath)/1.0 mm, $[\alpha]_D$ 18.5° (c, 0.3; CHCl₃) (Found: 212.214. C₁₄H₂₈O requires: 212.214). ν_{max} (film) 3400, 1675 cm⁻¹; λ_{max} (EtOH) 202 nm (ϵ 1500). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) δ : 0.87 (d, 6.0 Hz, 7 Me), 1.60 (s, 11 Me), 1.8-2.1 (bm, (H9)₂), 3.53 (bm, H6), 5.22 (bm, H11); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 20.1 MHz) δ : 13.3 (q, C12), 13.6 (q, C1), 14.1 (q, C7-CH₃), 15.7 (q, C10-CH₃), 22.7 (t, C2), 26.0 (t, C4), 31.8, 32.1 (t, C8 and C9), 34.6 (t, C3), 37.5 (t, C5), 37.9 (t, C7), 75.3 (d, C6), 118.5 (d, C11), 136.2 (s, C10). MS (E1) (m/z, %): 212 (M⁴, 9), 194 (2), 141 (34), 137 (3), 82 (89), 70 (31), 69 (45), 55 (100), 43 (58).

 $(7\mathbb{R}, 10\mathbb{E}) - 7,10 - Dimethyldodec - 10 - en - 6 - one$ (10). Treatment of 4 (30 mg) as described below for the conversion of 5 and 6, afforded the unsaturated ketone 10 (25 mg) as an oil, $[\alpha]_{D}$ -17.1° (c, 0.7; CHCl₃) (Found: M⁺, 210.202. C₁₄H₂₆O requires: 210.198). ν_{max} (film) 1715 cm⁻¹; λ_{max} (EtOH) 202 nm (e 6000). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 80 MHz) &: 0.5-2.8 (m, 11 H), 0.89 (t, J 7.0 Hz, (H1)₃), 1.05 (d, J 6.8 Hz, 7 Me), 1.26 (brs, 10 Me), 1.58 (brs, (H12)₃), 2.42 (bt, J 8.0 Hz, (H9)₂), 5.19 (m, H11). MS (EI) (m/z, %): 210 (M⁺, 16), 154.136 (10, C₁₀H₁₈O requires 154.136), 141.127 (9, C₉H₁₇O requires: 141.128), 139.112 (12, C₉H₁₅O requires: 139.112), 128.120 (21, C₈H₁₆O requires: 128.120), 99.0817 (91, C₆H₁₁O requires: 99.081), 72.0571 (100, C₄H₈O).

Determination of the absolute configuration of 4 by Horeau's method.¹ The alcohol 4 (27 mg, 0.13 mmol) was added to a 12.5% soln of (\pm) -2-phenylbutyric anhydride in anhyd pyridine (0.64 ml, 0.26 mmol of anhydride) and the mixture stirred at rt for 1 hr. The excess anhydride was decomposed with H₂O and the phenylbutyric acid titrated with NaOH (esterfication yield 69%). The basic soln was extracted with benzene, acidified and reextracted with benzene to give 2-phenylbutyric acid, $[\alpha]_D 1.7^\circ$ (c, 0.6; CHCl₃), optical yield 7.2%. Prolonged esterification of a second sample of 4 (14 mg) over 24 hr yielded 2-phenylbutyric acid, $[\alpha]_D 7.9^\circ$ (c, 1.3; CHCl₃) optical yield 25%.

(6R.7R.10 £)-7,10-Dimethyldodecan-6-ol (5). Hydrogenation of a soln of 4 (70 mg) in ether over 10% Pd/C under H₂ afforded the saturated alcohol 5 (65 mg) as an oil, $[\alpha]_D \ 1^{\circ}$ (c, 0.3; CHCl₃) (Found: M⁺-H₂O, 196.215. C₁₄H₂₈ requires: 196.219). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) & 3.44 (bm, H6). MS (EI) (m/z, %): 196 (M⁺-H₂O, 0.5), 143.142 (b, C₉H₁₉O requires: 143.144), 112 (7), 101 (36), 100 (16), 83 (100), 69 (22).

(7R,10*f*)-7,10-*Dimethyldodecan*-6-one (6). A soln of 5 (45 mg) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 ml) was treated with pyridinium dichromate (90 mg) at rt for 6 hr. The soln was filtered through Celite and the solvent evaporated to yield the *ketone* 6 as an oil, $[\alpha]_D$ -12° (*c*, 0.4; CHCl₃) (Found: 212.217. C₁₄H₂₈O requires: 212.214). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) & 0.4-2.7 (bm, 26H), 2.40 (bt, J 6.0 Hz, (H5)₂). MS (EI) (*m*/z, %): 212 (M⁺, 3), 141 (8), 128 (36), 123 (9), 113 (3), 99 (100), 72 (45), 71 (68), 57 (26), 43 (26).

Degradation of 6 to hexanoic acid. A soln of 6 (35 mg) in dry CHCl₃ (2 ml) was treated with *m*-chlorperbenzoic acid (42 mg) and *p*-TsOH (1 mg) and stirred for 48 hr at rt after which additional peracid (40 mg) was added. After 92 hr the mixture was diluted with H₂O (20 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. Recovery of the product afforded the ester 7 (34 mg) as an oil, $[\alpha]_D 0^\circ$ (c, 0.3; CHCl₃). ν_{max} (film) 1740 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 60 MHz) δ : 0.3–2.5 (bm, 25H), 2.23 (bt, J 6.0 Hz, (H5)₂), 4.85 (bm, H2'). MS (EI) (*m*/*z*, %): 171 (M⁺-C₄H₉, 2), 143 (8), 117 (20), 112.124 (24, C₈H₁₆ requires: 112.125), 99 (100), 84 (11), 83 (21), 71 (52), 70 (63), 57 (33), 43 (24).

A soln of the ester 7 (30 mg) in H₂O: MeOH (4:1) (5 ml) was treated with 2 N NaOH and heated under reflux for 18 hr. Recovery of the neutral component afforded the alcohol 8 which appeared homogenous on GLC analysis, R_t 2.0 min (Carbowax 20M capillary column, 0.3 mm × 25 m, initial temp 90° programmed at 5°/min). MS (EI) (m/z, %): 115 (M⁺-CH₃, 5), 112 (2), 97 (21), 85 (12), 84 (22), 71 (10), 70 (43), 57 (28), 45 (100). The acidic fraction on extraction with ether afforded a component which was shown to have identical GC and MS characteristics with those of (9). GC: R_t 6.0 min (3% Carbowax 20M on Chromosorb 85/100, glass column 2 m × 2 mm, initial temp 110° programmed at 6°/min); MS (EI) (m/z, %): 87 (M⁺-C₂H₅, 15), 73 (51), 60 (100).

Acknowledgements—We gratefully acknowledge the help of the Western Australian Museum and Miss Jane Fromont in the identification of the sponge.

REFERENCES

¹A. Horeau, Determination of the configuration of secondary alcohols by partial resolution in Stereochemistry, Fundamentals and Methods (Edited by H. B. Kagan), Vol. 3, p. 51. Georg Thieme, Stuttgart (1977).

²J. P. Vigneron, R. Meric and M. Dhaenens, *Tetrahedron Letters* 2057 (1980).

- ³R. M. Carman, Aust. J. Chem. 19, 629 (1966).
- ⁴D. E. Dozman, M. Jautelat and J. D. Roberts, J. Org. Chem. 36, 2757 (1971).
- ⁵H. Brouwer and J. B. Stothers, Can. J. Chem. 50, 1361 (1972).
- ⁶P. Crews and E. Kho-Wiseman, J. Org. Chem. 42, 2812 (1977).
- ⁷B. M. Howard, W. Fenical, K. Hirotsu, B. Solheim and J. Clardy, *Tetrahedron* 36, 171 (1980) and Refs. therein.
- ⁸F. J. McDonald, D. C. Campbell, D. J. Vanderah, F. J. Schmitz, D. M. Washecheck, J. E. Burks and D. van der Helm, J. Org. Chem. 40, 665 (1975) and Refs. therein.
- ⁹S. Imre, S. Islimyeli, A. Öztunc and R. H. Thomson, Phytochemistry 20, 833 (1981).
- ¹⁰E. L. Ghisalberti, P. R. Jefferies and A. D. Stuart, Aust. J. Chem. 32, 1627 (1979).

N